School of Pharmacy UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON

Preliminary Results from a mixed methods randomized controlled trial: ADdressing Health Iteracy, bEliefs, adheRence and self-Efficacy (ADHERE) program to improve diabetes outcomes

Olayinka O. Shiyanbola,¹ Denise L. Walbrandt Pigarelli,¹ Elizabeth J. Unni,² Paul D. Smith,³ Martha A. Maurer,¹ Yen-Ming Huang⁴

¹School of Pharmacy, University of Wisconsin-Madison; ² College of Pharmacy, Touro College and University System; ³ Dept. of Family Medicine and Community Health, UW-Madison ⁴ College of Pharmacy and Allied Health Professions, South Dakota State University

Table 2: Baseline Demographic &

Introduction

- Improving medication adherence is one effective approach to improving health outcomes for patients with diabetes.¹
- Enhanced adherence sometimes occurs by improving diabetes knowledge, but adherence behavior changes often does not follow increased knowledge.
- Health literacy indirectly influences adherence via self-efficacy in medication use and health beliefs in medicines and illness.²
- Objective: To examine whether a patient-centered intervention augmenting usual care with a health literacy-psychosocial support (ADHERE) intervention will improve medication adherence compared to usual care.

Methods

Study Design

Prospective longitudinal randomized controlled trial: participants randomized to usual care (control group) or usual care augmented with ADHERE intervention – pharmacistprovided tailored health literacy-psychosocial support based on barriers identified in patient survey and participant chosen domains for improvement (Fig. 1).

Participants

English-speaking, 18–80 years old, clinical notes indicating low diabetes medication adherence and poor diabetes control

Clinical Characteristics						
	Control (n=11)	Intervention (n=9)	Total (n=20)			
Age, yrs mean (SD)	58.1 (9.2)	55.9 (14.2)	57.1 (11.4)			
Gender % (n)						
Male	100 (11)	100 (9)	100 (20)			
Race % (n)						
White	91 (10)	89 (8)	90 (18)			
Other	9 (1)	11 (1)	5 (1)			
Diabetes diagnosis, yrs mean (SD)	17.8 (9.3)	12.1 (5.5)	15.3 (8.2)			
Hemoglobin A1C mean (SD)	9.3 (1.1)	9.3 (1.8)	9.3 (1.4)			
Diabetes Type % (n)						
Туре 1	9 (1)	22 (2)	15 (3)			
Type 2	91 (10)	78 (7)	85 (17)			
Diabetes meds mean (SD)	2.6 (1.2)	2.8 (1.0)	2.7 (1.1)			
Insulin use % (n)						
Yes	64 (7)	89 (8)	75 (15)			
No	36 (4)	11 (1)	25 (5)			

Figure 1. ADHERE Intervention Details			
Session 1	Face-to-face : Discuss participants' self-management goals based on baseline survey of their psychosocial factors		
Session 2-5	Follow-up phone calls: Reinforcement of participants' psychosocial factors to improve their medication adherence and self-management skills		
Session 6	Face-to-face : Reexamination of participants' goals of diabetes management and psychosocial factors		

Results



 Table 3: Baseline and Post-Intervention Results for Selected Domains

		Baseline		Post Intervention Follow-up		Mann Whitney U-test
		Control (n=10)	Int. (n=8)	Control (n=10)	Int. (n=8)	n = 18
ARMS-D mean (sd)		17.4 (2.1)	16.3 (2.8)			
HbA1C mean (sd)		9.5 (1.0)	9.3 (1.8)	9.5 (1.3)	9.0 (1.9)	U= 36 (p=0.762)
B	MQ mean (sd)					
	Concern beliefs	11.1 (4.8)	15.4 (4.6)	11.0 (5.8)	14.5 (2.8)	U= 28 (p=0.481)
	long term effect of diabetes meds	2.6 (1.5)	3.0 (1.7)	2.6 (1.3)	3.8 (0.9)	U= 44 (p=0.481)
	becoming dependent on diabetes meds	2.3 (1.3)	2.9 (1.0)	2.2 (1.3)	2.6 (0.7)	U= 23 (p=0.236)
BI	PQ mean (sd)	45 (4.8)	43 (11)	40 (3.4)	43 (6.9)	U= 59 (p=0.101)
	Consequence	7.7 (1.9)	6.5 (3.4)	6.3 (1.6)	6.0 (3.1)	U= 50 (p=0.408)
	Concern	8.0 (1.8)	7.4 (3.3)	8.2 (1.8)	8.1 (1.7)	U= 36 (p=0.762)

- (Hemoglobin A1c ≥ 8%).
- > Enrollment goal is 50 participants -- 25 in each group

Data Collection

Questionnaire administered at baseline and post-intervention to measure changes in participants' medication adherence and psychosocial factors (Table 1).

Data Analysis

- Descriptive statistics calculated for all participants (Table 2)
- Analysis focused on most frequently reported beliefs that negatively affect medication adherence (Fig. 2)
- Calculated differences in scores pre/post-intervention
- Applied Mann Whitney U test to difference scores to examine whether there was a significant difference between the control and intervention groups (Table 3).

Table 1: Questionnaire outcome measures

Measure	Range	Higher scores indicate
ARMS-D	11-44	better medication adherence
BMQ	5-25	stronger beliefs - necessity of
		glycemia medicines, current
BMQ	5-25	stronger concerns about glycemia
		medicines, current
BIPQ	0-80	more threatening view of diabetes
	Measure ARMS-D BMQ BMQ BIPQ	MeasureRangeARMS-D11-44BMQ5-25BMQ5-25BIPQ0-80

ARMS-D: Adherence to Refills and Medication Adherence, BMQ: Beliefs about Medicines Questionnaire, BIPQ: Brief Illness Perception Questionnaire

Discussion

- ADHERE intervention aims to improve the psychosocial support offered to patients by building self-efficacy and addressing negative beliefs about medicines and diabetes.
- Downward trend in the mean A1c for the intervention group suggests improved diabetes control.
- Negative medication concern beliefs trending down for the intervention group, suggesting an improvement
- Illness belief about the effect of diabetes on participant's lives reported by over half of participants -- post intervention mean scores suggest an improvement in this belief
- Non-significant Mann Whitney U test results may have been due to our small sample size. This suggests there is no compelling evidence that the groups differ.

Future Activities

- Qualitative interviews with intervention participants about effectiveness, acceptability, and sustainability of ADHERE intervention.
- Future analyses of pharmacist patient session transcripts to identify the domain the participant choose to address.

References

- R.K. Campbell, Recommendations for improving adherence to type 2 diabetes mellitus therapy-focus on optimizing insulin-based therapy, Am. J. Manag. Care 18 (3 Suppl) (2012) S55–S61.
- 2. Y.M. Huang, O.O. Shiyanbola, H.Y. Chan, A path model linking health literacy, medication self-efficacy, medication adherence, and glycemic control, Patient Educ. Counsel. 101 (11) (2018) 1906–1913.

Funding: Supported by a research grant from the Investigator-Initiated Studies Program of Merck Sharp & Dohme Corp. The opinions expressed in this poster are those of the authors and are not necessarily those of Merck Sharp & Dohme Corp.